

# “Take On”

## *Ad Back-Up*

### Tim Walz For Congress

TV (:30)

<i>SCRIPT</i>	<i>FACTS</i>
<p>VO: We know career politician Randy Demmer believes we should partially privatize Social Security, gambling it in the stock market.</p> <p>RD: Take on some risk if you want to</p> <p>VO: But here’s what Demmer won’t say.</p>	<p><b><u>FACT: Demmer Supports Investing Social Security Funding.</u></b></p> <p><b>Rochester Post Bulletin Reported Demmer Supports Limited Privatization.</b> Rochester Post Bulletin, “Demmer supports a limited, voluntary privatization of some Social Security funds but falls short of an all-out privatization.” [Rochester Post Bulletin, <a href="#">9/8/10</a>]</p> <p><b>Demmer Supported Allowing People To Choose What Happens To Their Social Security Dollars.</b> During a forum in 2008, Demmer said, “It’s about having control of the dollars that I am investing someplace. And yes, I would favor the option of being able to that, of you being able to do that. In other words, when you’re putting your money in your social security account, I would support you having the option, if you so chose to have a say in how those monies are invested, what kind of return you might get and have some choice in where you put it. Take on some risk if you want to, personal responsibility, individual freedom, personal choice – I think you should have that.” [Winona GOP Debate, 2/08]</p>
<p>His plan would cost taxpayers up to two trillion to set up. Making Wall Street richer.</p> <p>It’s another bailout we can’t afford.</p>	<p><b><u>FACT: Private Account Transition Could Cost \$2 Trillion.</u></b></p> <p><b>Private Account Transition Costs Could Total \$2 Trillion.</b> According to Business Week, “\$1 trillion to \$2 trillion in transition costs required to set up such accounts.” [Business Week, <a href="#">1/24/05</a>]</p>

Tim Walz says no way. He's fighting to strengthen Social Security.

And voted against the Wall Street bailout.  
Because he works for us.

VO: I'm Tim Walz and I approve this message.

**FACT: Walz Voted Against His Party And Opposed The Wall Street Bailout Plan.**

- **Walz Voted Twice Against The Wall Street Bailout, Because It Didn't Help Southern Minnesotans.** Congressman Walz bucked his own party and voted against Wall Street Bailout legislation twice because it didn't help Minnesotans. [HR 3997, Vote #674, 9/29/08; HR 1424, Vote #681, 10/3/08]
- **Because It Didn't Help Southern Minnesotans, Walz Voted Against The Wall Street Bailout Legislation.** Congressman Walz voted against the majority of his own party and opposed the Wall Street bailout legislation. He said, "Wall Street got us into this mess, and I hope that the Congressional leadership will go back to the drawing board and write a bill that holds Wall Street accountable, both through sensible regulation and oversight and by restricting the excessive golden parachutes for CEOs who got themselves in trouble." He continued, "I voted against this bill because it wasn't a good deal for taxpayers. Lawmakers need to go back to the table immediately and come up with a much better deal for taxpayers and the middle class." [Austin Daily Herald, [9/30/08](#)]